CERN-TH-2016-199

Hydrodynamization and transient modes of expanding plasma in kinetic theory

Michal P. Heller,[1,2,*] Aleksi Kurkela,[3,4,†] and Michał Spaliński[2,5,‡]

[1] *Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada*
[2] *National Centre for Nuclear Research, 00-681 Warsaw, Poland*
[3] *Theoretical Physics Department, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland*
[4] *Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Stavanger, 4036 Stavanger, Norway*
[5] *Physics Department, University of Białystok, 15-245 Białystok, Poland*

We study the transition to hydrodynamics in a weakly-coupled model of quark-gluon plasma given by kinetic theory in the relaxation time approximation. Our studies uncover qualitative similarities to the results on hydrodynamization in strongly coupled gauge theories. In particular, we demonstrate that the gradient expansion in this model has vanishing radius of convergence. The asymptotic character of the hydrodynamic gradient expansion is crucial for the recently discovered applicability of hydrodynamics at large gradients. Furthermore, the analysis of the resurgent properties of the series provides, quite remarkably, indication for the existence of a novel transient, damped oscillatory mode of expanding plasmas in kinetic theory.

arXiv:1609.04803v1 [nucl-th] 15 Sep 2016

Introduction and summary.– Heavy-ion collisions at RHIC and LHC provide an outstanding opportunity to test our understanding of QCD under extreme conditions. Perhaps unsurprisingly, a fully ab initio theoretical description has turned out to be very challenging. This has led to the exploration of models of increasing complexity and often non-overlapping domains of validity.

In this Letter we focus on the poorly understood transient far-from-equilibrium regime, which precedes viscous hydrodynamic evolution of quark-gluon plasma (QGP). There are two approaches to the study of the transition to hydrodynamics (hydrodynamization) in non-Abelian gauge theories like QCD: a weakly-coupled description based on effective kinetic theory (EKT) [1] and a strongly-coupled plasma paradigm based on holography [2] (see also, e.g., Ref. [3]). These models are based on very different physical pictures and mathematical language: the first involves the Boltzmann equation while the second makes use of higher-dimensional Einstein equations. Since under experimental conditions the QCD coupling is neither parametrically small nor large, it is crucial to understand to which extent these frameworks can be brought together in a conceptual as well as quantitative sense. This is the basic motivation for our Letter.

At strong coupling, it is well-established by now that hydrodynamization occurs generically within the time scale τ set by the local temperature T. Quite remarkably, this is in accord with the phenomenological values used to describe the data. Furthermore, it has been noted in Refs. [4–6] that viscous hydrodynamics can work remarkably well in the presence of very large gradients which, for the boost-invariant flow studied in this Letter, translate into a large pressure anisotropy. This applicability of hydrodynamics outside its naive regime of validity, which has been recently argued to question some of the key assumptions behind the standard model of heavy-ion collisions at RHIC and LHC energies [7], can be traced to the asymptotic character of the gradient expansion demonstrated for holographic theories in Refs. [8, 9].

Hydrodynamization at weak coupling $\lambda = g^2 N_c$ is much less well understood. At a qualitative level, the approach to hydrodynamics is captured by the picture of "bottom-up thermalization" [10], in which the hydrodynamical regime is reached at $\tau T \sim \lambda^{-11/5}$ (or $\tau T \sim \lambda^{-17/8}$ with plasma-instabilities included [11]). Quantitative results using the QCD EKT were delivered only recently in Ref. [12, 13] and showed that also in the weak coupling framework hydrodynamization occurs at large gradients and at times consistent with phenomenology, provided that realistic values of λ are used in the leading order calculation.

Our aim is to shed light on equilibration in weakly-coupled systems by examining non-hydrodynamic excitations in a generic kinetic theory and their interplay with the hydrodynamic sector. To achieve this, we consider the kinetic theory model given by the Boltzmann equation in the relaxation time approximation (RTA) [14]. We also assume conformality, as its breaking at strong coupling does not significantly alter equilibration processes [15, 16], whereas at weak coupling at vanishing quark masses it is a NLO effect (see, e.g., Ref. [17]).

A key result of this Letter is to show that the gradient expansion of kinetic theory has a vanishing radius of convergence (see Fig. 1). The reason for the divergence is the same as in the case of holographic plasma: the presence of fast-decaying modes recently discussed in Ref. [18] whose relaxation controls the emergence of hydrodynamic behaviour. As noted recently, understanding this process sheds light on the validity of hydrodynamics (and in particular, its applicability to small systems [19–21]). The asymptotic nature of the gradient expansion is the hallmark of hydrodynamization.

Quite remarkably, the analysis of the Borel transform of the gradient expansion reveals not only the expected purely decaying mode [18], but also strongly suggests the presence of a fast-evolving excitation of the expanding system exhibiting damped oscillatory behaviour (see Fig. 2). This surprising feature bears an apparent similarity to the non-hydrodynamic degrees of freedom of strongly coupled systems and is in line with the results re-

ported in Ref. [22] using a completely different approach. Finally, in order to corroborate our findings we compare the evolution governed by the RTA equations with the EKT results reported in Refs. [12, 13] uncovering semi-quantitative agreement when the latter is used at intermediate couplings. A by-product of this analysis is a new way of visualizing (see Fig. 3) the correlation between the hydrodynamization time and the value of the η/s ratio noted in Ref. [23].

Note added: While this work was being completed the article [24] appeared which uses a different approach to demonstrate the zero radius of convergence of the gradient expansion of relativistic kinetic theory.

The Setup.– We address the issues discussed above in the context of Bjorken flow [25], which is conveniently formulated in (proper time)-rapidity coordinates τ, y. They are related to Minkowski lab-frame coordinates t, z by $t = \tau \cosh y$ and $z = \tau \sinh y$ where z is the collision axis. For simplicity, we also assume translation symmetry in the transverse plane $\mathbf{x}_T$. The on-shell distribution function f can be taken to depend on the proper time τ, the modulus of the transverse momentum $p \equiv |\mathbf{p}_T|$ and the variable $u = \tau^2 p^y$.

The RTA replaces the collision kernel appearing in the Boltzmann kinetic equation by a simplified expression, linearized around the the equilibrium distribution which we assume of the Boltzmann form (neglecting quantum statistics for simplicity)

$$f_0(\tau, u, p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \exp\left[-\frac{\sqrt{u^2 + p^2\tau^2}}{\tau\, T(\tau)}\right]. \qquad (1)$$

The RTA Boltzmann equation takes the form

$$\mathcal{T}\frac{\partial f(\tau, u, p)}{\partial \tau} = f_0(\tau, u, p) - f(\tau, u, p). \qquad (2)$$

To ensure conformal symmetry we will take the relaxation time to be

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{\gamma}{T(\tau)}, \qquad (3)$$

where γ is dimensionless and is the only parameter of this model. The dependence of the temperature on the proper time is determined dynamically by imposing the Landau matching condition [26, 27]

$$\mathcal{E}(\tau) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} T^4(\tau), \qquad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(\tau) = \int d^4p\, \delta\left(p^2\right) \theta(p^0)\, \frac{v^2}{\tau^2}\, f(\tau, u, p) \qquad (5)$$

is the energy density (per particle species). Note that despite apparent linearity of the Boltzmann equation (2), the Landau matching condition (4) renders the problem of determining the distribution function f strongly nonlinear.

Hydrodynamic Gradient Expansion.– In a conformal theory the eigenvalues of the expectation value of the energy momentum tensor in a boost-invariant state are the energy density $\mathcal{E}$ and the longitudinal and transverse pressures $\mathcal{P}_L$ and $\mathcal{P}_T$ given by

$$\mathcal{P}_L = -\mathcal{E} - \tau\, \dot{\mathcal{E}}\ , \quad \mathcal{P}_T = \mathcal{E} + \frac{1}{2}\tau\, \dot{\mathcal{E}} \qquad (6)$$

and are all functions of the proper time τ alone. Far from equilibrium $\mathcal{P}_L$ and $\mathcal{P}_T$ will be quite different from

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{E}}{3}, \qquad (7)$$

the equilibrium pressure at the same energy density. It is then very convenient to study the approach to equilibrium by examining the behaviour of the normalized pressure anisotropy

$$R \equiv \frac{\mathcal{P}_T - \mathcal{P}_L}{\mathcal{P}} \qquad (8)$$

as a function of the dimensionless variable [6][1]

$$w \equiv T\, \tau. \qquad (9)$$

The gradient expansion of R takes the form[2]

$$R(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n w^{-n}. \qquad (10)$$

To determine the coefficients r_n we look for a solution of the Boltzmann equation Eq. (2) in the form

$$f(\tau, u, p) = f_0(\tau, u, p)\left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w^{-n}\, h_n(\frac{u}{w}, \frac{p}{T})\right). \qquad (11)$$

Inserting Eq. (11) into the Boltzmann equation Eq. (2) one can algebraically determine the functions h_n in terms of the unknown coefficients r_n. A key step in doing this is to eliminate proper time derivatives of temperature in favor of R and then using Eq. (10).

The Landau matching condition Eq. (4) implies that at each order $n > 0$

$$\int d^4p\, \delta\left(p^2\right) \theta(p^0)\, \frac{v^2}{\tau^2}\, f_0(\tau, u, p) h_n(\frac{u}{w}, \frac{p}{T}) = 0. \qquad (12)$$

---

[1] Note that Ref. [6] uses instead the quantity $\frac{\tau}{w}\dot{w} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{18}R$.

[2] This follows directly from Eq. (8) and Eq. (6) if we use the fact that in conformal theories near equilibrium $\mathcal{E} \sim T^4$ and for boost invariant flow $T \sim \tau^{-1/3} + O(\tau^{-1})$ [25] (up to exponentially suppressed corrections).

This condition amounts to a linear, algebraic equation which determines the expansion coefficient r_{n-1}.

Proceeding this way we have calculated *exactly* the expansion coefficients up to order 200. As a cross-check, we have also calculated the first 10 terms using two independent, albeit slower, methods: an iterative solution of the Boltzmann equation similar to Ref. [28] and also by solving the integral equation formulation introduced in Refs. [26, 27], noting perfect agreement.

The leading expansion coefficients read

$$r_1 = 8/5\ \gamma, \quad r_2 = 32/105\ \gamma^2, \quad r_3 = -416/525\gamma^3. \tag{13}$$

They can be used to match the transport coefficients of BRSSS hydrodynamics [29] to the RTA model. The gradient expansion of BRSSS theory reads

$$R(w) = \frac{8C_\eta}{w} + \frac{16C_\eta(C_{\tau\Pi} - C_{\lambda 1})}{3w^2} + O(\frac{1}{w^3}) \tag{14}$$

where the hydrodynamic transport coefficients τ_Π, λ_1 and the shear viscosity η have been eliminated using

$$\eta = C_\eta\ s, \qquad \tau_\Pi = \frac{C_{\tau\Pi}}{T}, \qquad \lambda_1 = C_{\lambda_1}\frac{\eta}{T} \tag{15}$$

and s is the equilibrium entropy density [29, 30]. Using Eq. (13) and the results of Ref. [18] one obtains

$$C_\eta = \frac{1}{5}\gamma, \qquad C_{\tau\Pi} = \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\lambda_1} = \frac{5}{7}\gamma. \tag{16}$$

Note that the ratios

$$C_{\tau\Pi}/C_\eta = 5 \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\lambda_1}/C_\eta = 27/5 \tag{17}$$

are γ independent and a factor of 2 larger than in the case of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma at strong coupling [29].

At large orders, the expansion coefficients in Eq. (10) exhibit factorial growth, as seen in Fig. 1. This demonstrates the vanishing radius of convergence of the hydrodynamic series, which parallels similar findings obtained numerically in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM using holography [8, 9]. This is to be expected on general grounds, since the RTA theory contains, apart from hydrodynamic excitations, also a short-lived mode [18] whose physics is not captured by the truncated gradient expansion, as shown in Refs. [8, 30].

Borel transform and short-lived modes.– We propose here to use the Borel transform technique as a new way to map out the excitations of expanding QGP. This definition is to be regarded as complementary to the notion defined by singularities of the retarded equilibrium 2-point functions of the energy-momentum tensor in the complex frequency plane. Note that our approach is sensitive also to nonlinear effects.

The (generalized) Borel transform is a standard tool in dealing with asymptotic series such as the gradient expansion in Eq. (10) and amounts to removing the leading order factorial growth of the coefficients

$$R_B(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_n}{\Gamma(n+b)}\xi^n, \tag{18}$$

where Γ is the gamma function and we allowed for an offset b. The inverse transform is given by the Borel summation formula

$$R_{I-B}(w) = \frac{1}{w}\int_0^\infty d\xi\, e^{-\xi/w}\, \xi^b\, R_B(\xi) \tag{19}$$

and is not uniquely defined since the analytic continuation of $R_B(\xi)$ necessarily contains singularities that are responsible for the vanishing radius of convergence of the original series. A fit to the generated 200 terms reveals $b \approx -1.43$ and we approximate the analytic continuation of $R_B(\xi)$ by means of Padé approximants. Fig. 2 shows the zeros of the denominator that are not zeros of the numerator and which remain stable as the order of the approximation is increased from 50 to 100. Such a condensation of poles in the Padé method is known to arise as an approximation to a cut [31].

In Ref. [30] (see also [32, 33]) the ambiguity in the Borel summation associated with singularities of the analytic continuation of the Borel transform has been argued to disappear once the gradient expansion is supplemented with exponentially decaying terms

$$\delta R \sim e^{-\xi_0\, w}, \tag{20}$$

where ξ_0 denotes the beginning of a cut in the complex Borel plane. In the case under consideration the cut closest to the origin starts at

$$\xi_0 = \frac{1.5}{\gamma} \tag{21}$$

(accurate to 5 decimal digits).

The constants ξ_0 appearing in Eq. (20) can in general be complex (they then come in conjugate pairs) and in the examples analyzed so far in the literature they appear in positive integer multiples. Each such term comes with an infinite gradient expansion of its own and the term with the lowest ξ_0 in a given family comes with an independent complex integration constant. In all known cases [8, 9, 30, 32, 33], those least damped modes within a given family coincide with singularities of retarded equilibrium 2-point functions of the energy-momentum tensor at vanishing momentum. The other modes originate from nonlinear effects and together they form a tightly-constrained mathematical structure of trans-series – free of ambiguities apart from integration constants associated with the choice of the initial non-equilibrium state.

In the context of the RTA kinetic theory, the studies of Ref. [18] reveal the presence of a zero-momentum fast-evolving mode in the isotropization of the energy-momentum tensor to its equilibrium form:

$$\delta\langle T^{\mu\nu}\rangle \sim e^{-\omega_0 T\, t} \tag{22}$$

with

$$\omega_0 = \frac{1}{\gamma}. \tag{23}$$

The nontrivial background flow is known to modify the above equation to the form [8, 34, 35]

$$\delta\langle T^{\mu\nu}\rangle \sim e^{-\omega_0 \int T(x) u_\mu \, dx^\mu}, \tag{24}$$

which for the case of Bjorken flow, neglecting subleading terms at large values of w, reduces to

$$\delta R \sim e^{-\frac{3}{2}\omega_0 w}. \tag{25}$$

This, together with Eq. (23), reproduces the position of the leading singularity in the analytic continuation of the Borel transform given by Eq. (21).

Quite remarkably, Fig. 2 contains also another set of singularities characterized by

$$\xi_0 = \frac{2.25 \pm 1.30\, i}{\gamma} \tag{26}$$

up to 3 decimal places. These complex values of ξ_0 lead to oscillatory-type transient contributions to the pressure anisotropy analogous to black brane quasinormal modes in the case of strongly coupled gauge theories. These excitations do not appear in the analysis of Ref. [18] and their occurrence is to be regarded as a prediction based on what has been learnt so far about the large order structure of the gradient expansion in relativistic hydrodynamics. Similar oscillatory transient modes have been noted in kinetic theory in anisotropic backgrounds using very different methods [22]. Note that in our analysis these modes appear as subleading, with damping rates approximately 1.5 larger than the leading mode. This is a nontrivial feature of the model under consideration and it would be very interesting to see whether this pattern holds also for other collision kernels.

Note that BRSSS hydrodynamics has the same leading nonhydrodynamic mode [30] as the RTA model (the same holds for anisotropic hydrodynamics [36]). Since also its gradient expansion can be matched to the RTA gradient expansion up to second order in derivatives, the BRSSS theory is quite well-suited to describing hydrodynamization in this model. One should bear in mind though that whereas the BRSSS theory in the boost-invariant setup at some fixed initial time requires specifying only 2 real numbers (the initial energy density and pressure anisotropy), RTA kinetic theory requires providing the full initial distribution function, not just its second moments.

Hydrodynamization compared– The bottom-up picture of thermalization [10] provides intuition and a physical picture of hydrodynamization at asymptotically small values of the coupling constant. It relies on parametrically large scale separations provided by the smallness



FIG. 1. Large order behaviour of the hydrodynamic expansion coefficients.



FIG. 2. Poles in the Pade approximant to the Borel transform of the gradient expansion. Note that although the expression is not Borel-summable due to a singularity on the positive real line, its resummation is expected to give a well-defined answer (up to a choice of initial conditions) due to the phenomenon of resurgence [30].

of the coupling. These scale separations (e.g. between the hard scale Q_s and the soft thermal bath T) come with rather small powers of coupling, and may become numerically irrelevant at values of coupling that are significantly smaller than those where the kinetic description is expected to fail.

In this section, we argue that the semi-quantitative agreement of the RTA on one hand with EKT and on the other hand with holography can provide a qualitative connection between the weakly coupled and strongly coupled hydrodynamization processes. While the QCD

effective kinetic theory has a much richer structure than the simple RTA model, we argue that the qualitative results of the previous sections can be to some extent generalized to full EKT at intermediate couplings.

To explicitly show the extent of quantitative agreement between the different models, inspired by Ref. [23] we consider the evolution of R as a function of a rescaled variable $\tilde{w} \equiv w/4\pi C_\eta$, which is useful in comparing different models, since the late time behaviour of the R is given by

$$R_H(\tilde{w}) = \frac{2}{\pi\tilde{w}} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\tilde{w}^2}).$$

The leading behaviour is completely universal and does not depend on the value of η/s. Deviations from the asymptotic form characterize contributions arising beyond first order hydrodynamics and indeed we say that the system has reached hydrodynamic regime when

$$\left|\frac{R(\tilde{w}) - R_H(\tilde{w})}{R_H(\tilde{w})}\right| < 0.1. \tag{27}$$

Fig. (3) shows a comparison of the time evolution of the system evolved in the EKT from [13], RTA using the methodology of [26, 27], and numerical AdS/CFT calculation of [6, 37, 38]. For the EKT and RTA simulations we used the initial condition used in [13], whereas for the AdS/CFT simulation we took a typical initial conditions from [38]. We evolved the systems using EKT with $\lambda = 10$ corresponding to $\eta/s \approx 0.642$, holography with $\eta/s = 1/4\pi$ and RTA with γ fixed to reproduce the value of η/s of either model. As seen in the figure, the evolution in all cases is similar, but distinct. Quite remarkably, we observe that in all models, with vastly differing microphysics, the evolution converges to first order viscous hydrodynamics roughly at same $\tilde{w} \approx 1$. It is striking that in all cases, the pressure anisotropy at the time of hydrodynamization is as high as $R \approx 0.6 - 0.8$. Finally note that no oscillations in the RTA curves are seen in this plot, because they are obscured by the least damped exponential mode. It is however quite possible that they could be revealed by a more subtle analysis.

Outlook.– In this Letter we have shown that several quite different models of QGP at weak and at strong coupling exhibit qualitative and semi-quantitative similarities. We have shown that the gradient expansion of the RTA kinetic theory is divergent and analysed the series using Borel-Pade techniques, which we propose as a method of identifying excitations in plasma systems complementary to the approach based on linear response theory. In cases where it can be applied, our method has the advantage of accounting for nonlinear effects. For the RTA model it indicates the presence of nonhydrodynamic modes describing not only the exponential decay expected from the studies of Ref. [18], but also damped oscillations at least superficially not present in the linear response theory on top of the equilibrium configuration. This resonates with the findings of Ref. [22], which argues for the existence of such modes in kinetic theory setups characterized by a significant background anisotropy incorporated in a fully nonlinear manner. This condition certainly holds in our setup, since the distribution function underlying the hydrodynamic gradient expansion is intrinsically anisotropic and the singularities of the corresponding Borel transform stem from its all-order nonlinear behaviour. Moving forward, it would be very interesting to derive the frequency of this novel oscillatory transient mode, Eq. (26), using other methods and understand the associated physics of the distribution function. One pressing question in this direction is whether this mode is a genuine excitation requiring specifying a separate initial conditions or whether it is an effect of nontrivial nonlinear physics of the RTA equation. On a related front, an interesting result, definitely worth further investigation, is the gradient expansion of the distribution function itself, encapsulated by Eq. (11). It would be enlightening to understand its properties and elucidate how the choice of an initial non-equilibrium distribution function affects transient corrections to Eqs. (10) and (11).



FIG. 3. The magenta plot is first order hydrodynamics, the brown dot-dashed line is the holographic result, and the red, dotted line is from EKT. The remaining lines are numerical solutions of the RTA model starting from the same initial distribution, but with different values of η/s. The blue, dashed curve corresponds to $\eta/s = 1/4\pi$, while the green, dotted curve corresponds $\eta/s = 0.624$ (matching the data behind the red dotted line).

The asymptotic nature of the gradient series leads to the phenomenon of hydrodynamization, whereby hydrodynamics becomes a good description even though gradients are large. This is exactly the pattern established earlier at strong coupling. The quantitative differences between the two scenarios arise mainly from the numerical values of the hydrodynamization time measured in

units of inverse temperature, $w = \tau T$. In the strong coupling scenario, $w \approx 0.7$ at the hydrodynamic threshold; at weak coupling this can be much larger in consequence of much larger shear viscosity (which, in the RTA, implies a correspondingly longer relaxation time). This correlation between the shear viscosity to entropy density ratio and the hydrodynamization time has been noted earlier in Ref. [23] and our Fig. 3 is a remarkably clean and aesthetically pleasing way of visualizing it.

From a broader perspective, our results constitute a first step in understanding analytically the hydrodynamization process in a weakly coupled theory. We believe that due to the structural similarities of RTA and EKT, weakly coupled QCD also exhibits a divergent gradient expansion. It is however noteworthy that because of the physics of anisotropic screening [39], the gradient expansion of full weakly coupled QCD will likely have a more involved structure than given in Eq. 10. It would be fascinating to provide a systematic analysis of the gradient expansion in the EKT for QCD, as well as understand its non-hydrodynamic excitations at the level of the Borel-transformed gradient expansion and retarded correlators of $T^{\mu\nu}$ in thermal equilibrium. We leave this extremely interesting study for future investigations.

We would like to thank the authors of Ref. [22] as well as W. Florkowski, R. Janik, P. Romatschke, Wilke van der Schee and M. Strickland for correspondence and valuable discussions. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research & Innovation. M.S. was supported by the National Science Centre Grant 2015/19/B/ST2/02824.

* mheller@perimeterinstitute.ca
† aleksi.kurkela@cern.ch
‡ michal.spalinski@ncbj.gov.pl

[1] P. B. Arnold, G. D. Moore, and L. G. Yaffe, JHEP **01**, 030 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0209353 [hep-ph].
[2] J. M. Maldacena, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. **2**, 231 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9711200 [hep-th].
[3] J. Casalderrey-Solana, H. Liu, D. Mateos, K. Rajagopal, and U. A. Wiedemann, (2011), arXiv:1101.0618 [hep-th].
[4] P. M. Chesler and L. G. Yaffe, Phys.Rev. **D82**, 026006 (2010), arXiv:0906.4426 [hep-th].
[5] P. M. Chesler and L. G. Yaffe, Phys.Rev.Lett. **106**, 021601 (2011), arXiv:1011.3562 [hep-th].
[6] M. P. Heller, R. A. Janik, and P. Witaszczyk, Phys.Rev.Lett. **108**, 201602 (2012), arXiv:1103.3452 [hep-th].
[7] P. Romatschke, (2016), arXiv:1609.02820 [nucl-th].
[8] M. P. Heller, R. A. Janik, and P. Witaszczyk, Phys.Rev.Lett. **110**, 211602 (2013), arXiv:1302.0697 [hep-th].
[9] A. Buchel, M. P. Heller, and J. Noronha, (2016), arXiv:1603.05344 [hep-th].
[10] R. Baier, A. H. Mueller, D. Schiff, and D. T. Son, Phys. Lett. **B502**, 51 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0009237 [hep-ph].
[11] A. Kurkela and G. D. Moore, JHEP **11**, 120 (2011), arXiv:1108.4684 [hep-ph].
[12] A. Kurkela and E. Lu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 182301 (2014), arXiv:1405.6318 [hep-ph].
[13] A. Kurkela and Y. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 182301 (2015), arXiv:1506.06647 [hep-ph].
[14] J. Anderson and H. Witting, Physica **74**, 466 (1974).
[15] A. Buchel, M. P. Heller, and R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 251601 (2015), arXiv:1503.07114 [hep-th].
[16] R. A. Janik, G. Plewa, H. Soltanpanahi, and M. Spalinski, Phys. Rev. **D91**, 126013 (2015), arXiv:1503.07149 [hep-th].
[17] P. B. Arnold, C. Dogan, and G. D. Moore, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 085021 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0608012 [hep-ph].
[18] P. Romatschke, Eur. Phys. J. **C76**, 352 (2016), arXiv:1512.02641 [hep-th].
[19] P. Romatschke, Eur. Phys. J. **C75**, 305 (2015), arXiv:1502.04745 [nucl-th].
[20] M. Habich, G. A. Miller, P. Romatschke, and W. Xiang, (2015), arXiv:1512.05354 [nucl-th].
[21] M. Spalinski, (2016), arXiv:1607.06381 [nucl-th].
[22] D. Bazow, M. Martinez, and U. W. Heinz, Phys. Rev. **D93**, 034002 (2016), [Phys. Rev.D93,034002(2016)], arXiv:1507.06595 [nucl-th].
[23] L. Keegan, A. Kurkela, P. Romatschke, W. van der Schee, and Y. Zhu, (2015), arXiv:1512.05347 [hep-th].
[24] G. S. Denicol and J. Noronha, (2016), arXiv:1608.07869 [nucl-th].
[25] J. Bjorken, Phys.Rev. **D27**, 140 (1983).
[26] G. Baym, Phys. Lett. **B138**, 18 (1984).
[27] W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski, and M. Strickland, Phys. Rev. **C88**, 024903 (2013), arXiv:1305.7234 [nucl-th].
[28] A. Jaiswal, Phys. Rev. **C87**, 051901 (2013), arXiv:1302.6311 [nucl-th].
[29] R. Baier, P. Romatschke, D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets, and M. A. Stephanov, JHEP **04**, 100 (2008), arXiv:0712.2451 [hep-th].
[30] M. P. Heller and M. Spalinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 072501 (2015), arXiv:1503.07514 [hep-th].
[31] H. S. Yamada and K. S. Ikeda, (2013), arXiv:1308.4453 [math-ph].
[32] G. Basar and G. V. Dunne, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 125011 (2015), arXiv:1509.05046 [hep-th].
[33] I. Aniceto and M. Spaliński, Phys. Rev. **D93**, 085008 (2016), arXiv:1511.06358 [hep-th].
[34] R. A. Janik and R. B. Peschanski, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 046007 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0606149 [hep-th].
[35] M. P. Heller, R. A. Janik, M. Spaliński, and P. Witaszczyk, Phys.Rev.Lett. **113**, 261601 (2014), arXiv:1409.5087 [hep-th].
[36] W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski, and M. Spalinski, (2016), arXiv:1608.07558 [nucl-th].
[37] M. P. Heller, R. A. Janik, and P. Witaszczyk, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 126002 (2012), arXiv:1203.0755 [hep-th].
[38] J. Jankowski, G. Plewa, and M. Spalinski, JHEP **12**, 105 (2014), arXiv:1411.1969 [hep-th].
[39] A. Kurkela and G. D. Moore, JHEP **12**, 044 (2011), arXiv:1107.5050 [hep-ph].